



AF

IFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of : Confirmation No. 2339  
Satoshi INAMI et al. : Attorney Docket No. 2002\_0022A  
Serial No. 10/046,172 : Group Art Unit 2164  
Filed January 16, 2002 : Examiner Samuel G. Rimell

A COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM FOR  
RETRIEVING INSTRUCTION FILES FROM A SERVER

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

NOT FOL  
ENTRY  
Shu 9/20/06

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED  
TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE  
FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT  
ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

Sir:

In view of the follow remarks, reconsideration of the objections and rejections set forth in the outstanding Office Action of May 31, 2006 is respectfully requested.

At the top of page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner asserted that the amendments to paragraph [0017] of the original specification introduce new matter into the disclosure and, therefore, will not be entered. However, the amendments to paragraph [0017] as set forth in the previous Amendment were formal amendments made to provide antecedent basis for the claim language and consistency throughout the specification. As will be explained in detail below with reference to the claim language (which corresponds to the amended language of paragraph [0017] of the specification), these amendments to the specification are fully supported by the original disclosure. Consequently, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the objection to the amendments to the specification, and is requested to enter these amendments.

On pages 2-4 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 15-34 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. In particular, the Examiner appears to object to the description of several features recited in the claims, and these features are set forth in the following exemplary claim language from claim 15: